

**Ecology and conservation of ground-dwelling beetles in
managed wet eucalypt forest: edge and riparian effects**

Susan C Baker BFSc, BSc (Hons)

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,

School of Zoology, University of Tasmania, November 2006

Declarations

Statement of originality

This thesis contains no material that has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by background information and duly acknowledged in the thesis. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due acknowledgement is made in the text.

Susan Claire Baker

Statement of authority of access

This thesis may be available for loan and limited copying in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Susan Claire Baker

Abstract

Limited understanding of the ecology of ground-dwelling invertebrates in Tasmania has hampered our ability to assess the adequacy of forest management. This thesis documents the distributions of ground-dwelling beetle assemblages in managed, wet eucalypt forests of southern Tasmania, and explores the response of beetles to ecological gradients caused by riparian influences near small streams (since retained riparian corridors are a major conservation tool), and edge effects from recently clearfelled logging coupes. Extensive pitfall trapping using replicated transects at four sites was employed to compare the beetle fauna between five habitats: young logging regeneration, the interior of upslope mature forest, the riparian-upslope transition in mature forest interior, and across coupe edges (both into upslope mature forest and into streamside reserves). Data screening ensured that the primary transect design, which employed traps positioned at unequal distances within transects, was unlikely to produce patterning in beetle distributions attributable to spatial autocorrelation or pitfall trap depletion.

Beetles responded to riparian influences, showing subtle shifts in assemblage composition, and generally reduced abundance or species richness nearer to streams. However, site differences outweighed riparian effects. Beetles assemblage composition differed substantially between young logging regeneration and mature forest: several species were identified as indicators of each habitat. Beetles responded more strongly to edge effects than to riparian influences. Depth of edge influence extended ~ 22 m into unlogged non-riparian forest, but further into streamside reserve edges (up to ~ 65 m). Four beetle species, *Choleva* TFIC sp 01 (Leiodidae), *Decilaus nigronotatus*, *D. lateralis* and *D. striatus* (all Curculionidae), were indicators of mature forest interior.

A second survey compared beetles between logging regeneration, upslope mature forest interior, mature forest interior riparian areas, and streamside reserves that had been logged on both sides, in five stands of each of the four habitats. Streamside reserves (average width 40 ± 6 m ($\pm 95\%$ CI) from reserve edge to stream) supported different beetle assemblages to unlogged areas, and were probably entirely edge-effected.

These results suggest that current corridor provisions, which rely heavily on riparian reserves, may be inadequate to conserve beetles dependent on mature forest interior. Reserve corridors may need to be wider, and should more often be positioned upslope away from riparian areas. Alternatively, a mix of different types of reservation strategies (e.g. conserving some contiguous blocks of mature forest in lieu of widened corridors) needs to be developed to increase the probability that edge-sensitive and mature forest specialist taxa will be conserved.

Acknowledgements

Many people and organisations have supported this research, and acknowledgements are given at the end of each chapter. I received a University of Tasmania Postgraduate Scholarship, and a top-up scholarship from the CRC for Sustainable Production Forestry. To supplement funding from The University of Tasmania, fieldwork was supported by the following grants: a Forestry Tasmania Warra LTER Small Projects Grant, a DPIWE World Heritage Area Grant, and a Maxwell Ralph Jacobs Fund Grant. Funding from Forestry Tasmania provided a scholarship extension to sort and identify beetles collected in the second field trial. FWPRDC and the Geography Student's Society provided travel grants to attend international conferences.

I am enormously grateful to my supervisors for their efforts throughout this project. My primary supervisor Alastair Richardson and co-supervisor Leon Barmuta have been fantastic supervisors during all stages of the project. I am grateful for their guidance, knowledge and experience, their proofreading efforts, and also for allowing me the autonomy to follow my own pathways of interest. I am also grateful to Leon for his patience in sharing his statistical expertise, particularly in getting me started using R. Simon Grove, although not a supervisor on paper, assisted greatly with many aspects of the project, including editing many of the draft manuscripts, and I was very fortunate to gain from his forestry insights and knowledge of beetles. I also appreciate the effort and enthusiasm of my research supervisor Peter McQuillan.

I was very fortunate to receive voluntary assistance with pitfall trap sorting from a number of people, making this large job both easier and more fun. In particular, Isabel Jaisli, Matt Lansdell, Tegan Kelly, Russel Lewis-Jones and Stewart

Alexander dedicated themselves for substantial contributions to this task. Luke Einoder, Marie Yee, Gab Warren, Isabel Jaisli and Tegan Kelly helped with field work. Help with beetle identification was given by Peter McQuillan, Owen Seeman, Karyl Michaels, George Bornemissza, Tom Weir, John Lawrence, Simon Grove, Marie Yee, Rich Leschen, Belinda Yaxley, Dick Bashford and Ainsley Seago. Attendance at an ANIC beetle identification course greatly assisted the task of family identification of beetles.

Forestry Tasmania, and the staff of their Geeveston District and Research and Development Division were extremely supportive of this project. As well as help with funding, they provided field support including the use of a caravan at the Warra LTER site, advice on study design and field sites, help with GIS, access to and assistance with the Tasmanian Forest Insect Collection. Thanks to Simon Grove, Dick Bashford, Rob Taylor, John Hickey, Mick Brown, Tim Wardlaw, Belinda Yaxley, Joanne Dingle, Carolyn Ringrose, Peter Ladaniwskyj, Alison Wooley, and staff at the Geeveston Workshop and the Geeveston Forestry Office.

I would like to thank the support staff of the School of Zoology, including Sherrin Bowden, Kit Williams, Wayne Kelley, Barry Rumbold and Kate Hamilton. The University of Tasmania Science Library and Document Delivery Service were both extremely helpful. I also appreciate the friendship and support of my fellow PhD and honours students, especially Bonnie Lauck, Iain Field, John Gooderham, Derek Turnbull, Penny Atkinson, Grant Westphalen, Pep Blanks, Marie Yee, Tilla Roy, Beth Strain, Gab Warren, Jed Macdonald, Abe Miller, Amy Koch, and long-term room mates Rick and Jemina Stewart-Smith.

Statistical analysis at times proved challenging, and I am very appreciative of the help given by Leon Barmuta, Russell Thompson, Alastair Richardson, Simon Grove, Marie Yee, Marti J Anderson, Russell Millar, Graham Edgar and Ann

Watson. I also received advice and help from Paul Scofield, Penny Atkinson, Bonnie Lauck, Ann Wilkinson, Philip Pennington, Philip Smethurst, Neil Davidson, Caroline Mohammed, Karyl Michaels, Sarah Munks, Clare McArthur, Steve Candy, David Ratkowsky, Pep Turner, Greg Brenner, Mamoru Matsuki, Don Driscoll and Tom Weir. During my candidature I was able to enroll in undergraduate courses in quantitative methods and entomology at the University of Tasmania, providing helpful background to my PhD studies. Leon Barmuta, Craig Johnson and Geoff Allen were excellent teachers in these subjects.

I am grateful to organisations and individuals that hosted me in visits away from Tasmania. In Canberra I stayed with Noel Starick, Chris Lambkin and Chris Palmer when attending the ANIC beetle ID course. In New Zealand, Paul Scofield and the Canterbury Museum hosted me for one month. In Brisbane I stayed with Helen Nahrung and Owen Seeman while attending ICE2004, and for a one-month fellowship with the Queensland University of Technology. In Montreal I stayed with Benoit Friceau whilst attending ESA/INTECOL2005. Thanks also to Mum and Gedgar for donating frequent flyer points to help me get to Canberra and Canada respectively.

To Mum, Wendy and Gedgar, thanks so much for your love, support, and financial help. A big thanks also to my friends for their patience, support and companionship. I would especially like to thank my friend Marie Yee, with whom I shared the PhD journey through similar projects. Marie assisted in many ways, but I especially appreciate her help setting up my Access database, and her help with identifying beetles. It was great to hang out with someone who understood what I was talking about when I said that pselaphines were cute. I am also very grateful to Helen Nahrung and Owen Seeman who were my field-work safety contacts, frequently also adding in bonus dinners when I returned from the field.

Contents

Declarations	2
Statement of originality	2
Statement of authority of access.....	2
Abstract	3
Acknowledgements	5
Contents.....	8
Chapter 1.....	11
General introduction.....	11
Background	11
General Research Objectives.....	17
Overview of how the general objectives were addressed.....	19
Presentation of the thesis	21
Statement of co-authorship.....	25
References	25
Chapter 2.....	32
Evaluating spatial autocorrelation and depletion in pitfall-trap studies of environmental gradients	32
Abstract	33
Introduction	34
Methods	36
Results and Discussion.....	39
Acknowledgements	44
References	44
Chapter 3.....	48
Site effects outweigh riparian influences on ground-dwelling beetles adjacent to first order streams in wet eucalypt forest	48
Abstract	49
Introduction	50
Methods	52
Results	58
Discussion	67
Conclusions	71
Acknowledgements	71
References	72
Chapter 4.....	80
Why conservation reserves should not always be concentrated in riparian areas: a study of ground-dwelling beetles in wet eucalypt forest	80
Abstract	81
Introduction	82
Methods	86
Results	93
Discussion	101
Acknowledgements	109
References	110

Chapter 5.....	121
A comparison of litter beetle assemblages (Coleoptera) in mature and recently clearfelled <i>Eucalyptus obliqua</i> forest.....	121
Abstract	122
Introduction	123
Materials and Methods	124
Results	129
Discussion	136
Acknowledgements	140
References	140
Chapter 6.....	145
Estimating edge effects on ground-dwelling beetles at clearfelled non-riparian stand edges in Tasmanian wet eucalypt forest.....	145
Abstract	146
Introduction	147
Methods	150
Results	156
Discussion	163
Acknowledgements	169
References	170
Chapter 7.....	179
Response of ground-dwelling beetles across logging coupe edges into riparian buffers.....	179
Abstract	180
Introduction	181
Methods	183
Results	190
Discussion	196
Acknowledgements	201
References	201
Chapter 8.....	207
A comparison of ground-dwelling beetle assemblages in streamside reserves with those in unlogged wet eucalypt forest	207
Abstract	208
Introduction	209
Methods	211
Results	216
Discussion	223
Conclusion.....	228
Acknowledgements	229
Literature cited	229

Chapter 9.....	234
General Discussion: An overview of factors influencing ground-dwelling beetle distributions in production wet eucalypt forest and their relevance to reserve design	234
Abstract	235
Introduction	236
Ecological patterning of ground-dwelling beetles in wet eucalypt forest	237
Reservation strategies for beetle conservation	247
Directions for future research.....	254
Conclusions	259
References	260
Appendix 1	271
Pilot study.....	271
Aims	271
Methods	271
Results	272
Conclusions	273
Appendix 2	278
Allocation of sampling effort in Trial 2	278
Aims	278
Methods	278
Results	279
Conclusions	282
References	282
Appendix 3	283
Extra riparian analyses.....	283
Indicator species analysis of beetles in riparian compared to upslope habitat	283
Soil moisture content in riparian-upslope transects	286
References	287
Appendix 4	288
Seasonality of edge response in riparian transects.....	288
Aim.....	288
Methods	288
Results	289
Conclusions	289
References	291
Appendix 5	292
Association of common brown froglets, <i>Crinia signifera</i>, with clearcut forest edges in Tasmania, Australia	292
Abstract	293
Introduction	294
Methods	296
Results	299
Discussion	301
Acknowledgments	305
References	306